Exhibit 1

44 Fed. Reg. 66213, Department of Defense Proposed Rule: Small Arms Range, Great Lakes, Ill.; Danger Zone (Nov. 19, 1979) Federal Register / Vol. 44, No. 224 / Monday, November 19, 1979 / Proposed Rules

pecified in the subject

interim projective sales.

and rule. Except as provided a after the expiration date of contract, any latural gas the provisions of this subpart time to be sold a the original until the requirements of concerning bona lide offers) and

initions of sale. Natural gas a original purchaser under the fall of this section shall be the terms and conditions in the subject contract un the her contract was in effect, at the seller may charge not the price paid by the original on the day before the final thon was made.

Intervening third party sales.

licability. This section applies

applicable subject contract

tas is covered by a first sale between the seller and a third chaser, entered into after the mination and prior to the date was issued as a proposed rule.

If the original purchaser may that than January 15, 1980, to asson for such relief as the may determine appropriate.

Waiver of rights under this

may rule. The original may voluntarily waive its bona fide offer under § 277.00 to first refusal under both rights.

Ilod of waiver. Waiver under a (a) of this section must be in ad signed by the origin a

Recordkeeping requirements.

rs of natural gas hich is this subpart mu retain all bona fide off rs under and offers to the original to satisfy th original a right of fir refusal under The seller and purchasers of swhich i subject to this st also etain all other creat in the ordinary s and which relate to busin

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATIO Federal Highway Administration

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

23 CFR Part 771

49 CFR Part 662

[FHWA Docket No. 79-26]

Environmental Impact and Related Procedures

AGENCIES: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA), DOT.

ACTION: Extension of Comment Period.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of October 15, 197 (44 FR 59438), FHWA and UMTA issaled a notice of proposed rulemaking concerning the coordinated responses of the two agencies to the Council on invironmental Quality CEQ) regulations implementing the pcedur I provisions of the National dron lental Policy Act and to the em nting procedures issued by the Dep ment of Transportation. ted parties were given until Inter Nov ber 14, 1979 to submit comments. Be of extensive interest in this new closing date for comments on, s been stablished and is set out elow.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before December 3, 1979.

ADDRESS: Sub mit written comments, preferably in triplicate, to FHWA Docket No. 79–16, Federal Highway Administration, Room 4205, HCC–10, 400 Seventh Street, S.V., Washington, D.C. 20590. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 7: 5 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. ET, Monday through Triday. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must included a self-addressed stamped postcard.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

FHWA: Dale Wilken, Office of Environmental Policy, 202-26-0106, or Irwin Schroeder, Office of the Chief Counsel, 202-526-0791. Office hours for FHWA are from 7:45 a.m. to 4.15 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday. Unitariate Health Policy of Transt Assistance, 202-472-2435, or Joh Collins, Office of the Chief Counsel, 202-426-1906. Office hours for UMTA are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday.

Dated: November 13, 1979. John S. Hassell, Jr.,

Acting Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

66213

Lillian C. Liburdi,

Acting Deputy Administrator, Urban Mass Transportation Administration. [FR Doc. 79–35520 Filed 11–16–79 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

33 CFR Part 204

Small Arms Range, Great Lakes, III.; Danger Zone

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Proposed Rule.

SUMMARY: The Corps of Engineers proposes to revise the regulations which establish a danger zone at the small arms range adjacent to the U.S. Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois. The revision is necessary to accommodate the current training and practice routine at the range.

DATE: Comments must be received by December 20, 1979.

ADDRESS: HQDA, DAEN-CWO-N, Washington, D.C. 20314.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ralph T. Eppard, Telephone (202)272–0200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulations have been promulgated by the Department of the Army in 33 CFR 204.175 on 30 January 1951 to establish a danger zone for a small arms range on Lake Michigan approximately 2 miles south of the entrance to Waukegan Harbor, Illinois. These amendments affect paragraph (b) by eliminating the buoys used on the north and south points on the eastern limits of the range and to delete the requirement for streamers to be displayed when firing is in progress. Red warning flags will be flown when firing is conducted at the range. When firing rifles at the range, spotters will be employed on the lake shore to observe the impact area and suspend shooting if any craft enters the danger zone. It should be noted that all fire is intended to impact into the berms and not impact into the lake. Only an errant round or ricochet would impact into the lake.

Accordingly, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers proposes to amend 33 CFR 204.175(b) as set forth below: § 204.175 Lake Michigan: Small arms range adjacent to U.S. Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, III.

(b) The regulations. (1) When firing affecting the danger zone is in progress, the enforcing agency will post guards at such locations that the waters in the danger zone may be observed and arrange signals whereby these guards may stop the firing should any person or vessel be seen in the waters of the danger zone. When firing is in progress, the enforcing agency will cause red flags to be displayed on shore near the rifle butts, which may be readily discernible to a person in a vessel within the danger zone.

(3) If such flags are displayed it will indicate that firing is in progress, and that the waters in the danger zone are subject to impact by rounds missing or ricocheting off the impact berm and should not be entered until the flags are lowered.

(5) Deleted.

Authority.—(40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1) and (40 Stat. 892; 33 U.S.C. 3).

Notes.—The Chief of Engineers has determined that this regulation will not impose unnecessary burdens on the economy or on individuals and therefore, is not significant for the purposes of E.O. 12044. A regulatory analysis is not required.

Dated: November 7, 1979.

Forrest T. Gay III,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Executive Director, Engineer Staff.

[FR Doc. 79–35515 Filed 11–16–79; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–92-M

NVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION A ENCY

40 C/R Part 52

[FRL 136 -21

State and Anderal Administrative Orders Revising the Michigan State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: U.S. Env. conmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed Rev. Proposed Approval of Revision.

SUMMARY: U.S. hvironme Protection Ag ncy (USEPA) roposes to approve Mig igan Air Pollutio Control Commissi i's request for a revi the Mich an State Implementation le revision is a Final Order issue by the Michigan Air Pollution rol Commission (MAPCC). The al Order was the result of the

tipulation and Consent Order entered in to by the Consumers Power Company and the Air Quality Division of the Mio igan Department of Natural Reso rces. The Order extends the date by which the Company is required to bring a lfur dioxide emissions from coal-fira i boilers at its J.H. Campbell Plant located in the Township of Port Sheldon, Ottawa County, Michigan, into compliance with certain regulations contained in the federally approved Michigan Stat Implementation Plan (SIP). The Order extends the date for compliance from January 1, 1980 to January 1, 1985. Any Order which has been issued to a major source and extends the SIP con pliance date for meeting the sulfur divide emission limitations must be a proved by USEPA before it becomes effective as a SIP revision under the Cleal Air Act. 42 U.S.C. 7410. If approved a USEPA, the extension will constitute a revision to the SIP. The purpose of this Notice is to invite public comment on UsEPA's proposed approval of the MAPCC Order dated June 25, 1979.

DATE: Written comments must received by December 19, 1979.

ADDRESSES: Please send comment to: Steve Rothblatt, Chief, Air Program Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V, 230 South Dearbor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

The State Order, supporting material and public comment received in response to this notice may be inspected and copied (for appropriate charges) during normal business hours at the above address or at: Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division, State Secondary Complex, General Office Building, 7150 Harris Drive, P.O. Box 30078, Lansing, Michigan 48909.

FOR FURTHER INFORMAT IN CONTACT: Joel Morbito, Air and Hazardous Materials Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2 0 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 886–6059.

SUPPLEMENTARY NFORMATION:

Consumers Power Company uses coal as fuel in its electrical generating facility, componly known as the Campbell Pl. nt, in the township of Port Sheldon, Of awa County, Michigan.

On Jany ry 17, 1978 the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission sion) received Consumers (Commi company's (Consumers) request Power to def compliance with the sulfur diox de emission standards specified in Tal es 3 and 4 of Rule 336.49 of the nmission's Rules and Regulations for r Pollution Control. Consumers

requested that compliance be defend from January 1, 1980 to January 1,

Rule 336.49 sets sulfur dioxide emission limitations for power the State of Michigan. Rule 33 19(1) e if pow allows for deferred complian plant emissions do not crea or contribute to an ambient l el of sulfu plicable air dioxide in excess of the quality standards. Rule 6.49(2) prohibits exceptions to he limitations y 1, 1980 unless ed by the Table 3 beyond Janua authorization is grap Commission.

In accordance y th Rule 336.49(2) Consumers appli d for an extension of the January 1, 1 o compliance date for sulfur dioxide missions. In its application C nsumers requested that e date be extended to the complian January 1, 1 85, and provided informatio and demonstrations wh red by Rules 336.141-147. were requ

Asa sult of Consumers' application a publi hearing was held May 15, 19 bosed Consent Order APC No. on pr 1979 ntered into by Consumers and Quality Division of Michigan's artment of Natural Resources, T is testimony that the proposed On d not appear to contain any interim eduction for the twenty-four hour average of sulfur dioxide emissions that the air quality models and meteorological data did not take into account the gradient onshore and la breeze fumigation effects. The Commission authorized the entrance the Order with the provision that the roblem with the air quality mode udy be resolved.

The Order extended the compliant day for meeting sulfur dioxide emiss limitations to January 1, 1985. The Commission stated in the Order that Consumers complied with the terms the proposed Order, the extension would not interfere with the attainmor maintenance of the National Ambair Quality Standards for any polluta The proposed Order was thereafter stipulated on June 22, 1979 as a Conforder between Consumers and the figuration of Natural Resources. Or June 25, 1979 the Jonsent Order was issued by the Michigan's Department of Natural Resources. Or June 25, 1979 the Jonsent Order was issued by the Michigan Air Pollution Control Commission as the Commission's Final Arder.

The Final Order rest inds and

The Final Order res inds and supersedes Performant. Contract No 973–10 and extends the ampliance for meeting the sulfur did ide emission rule 33.

January 1, 1985. The Order a so contract to the sulfur did ide emission rule 30 percentages of the sulfur did ide emission rule 30 percentages. The Order a so contract to the sulfur did in the sulfur did in